Quality Evaluation Criteria for Radiation Protection Spares Q3/L2 - Enquiry: E2372GXNOURR

Category	Requirements	Criteria	Deliverables	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	Supplier Quality Management System	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) meets the requirements of ISO 9001:2015.	The returnable is the retained or maintained documented information for demonstrating criteria implementation. e.g. Internal or external audit report(s) demonstrating level of conformance wrt the requirements of ISO 9001: 2015 or, conformance matrix of suppliers QMS vs the requirements of ISO 9001: 2015.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of ISO9001:2015 75% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of ISO9001:2015 50% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of ISO9001:2015 0% - No documents submitted	**40%**	0	0%	0%		
	Manufacturer Quality Control Process Documents	Implementation of Quality Control Plan (QCP) with intervention points.	Tenderer to submit Quality Control Procedure and Sample Quality Control Plans.	100% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with all monitoring requirements identified. 75% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with no monitoring requirements identified. 50% - Quality Control Process procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	**30%**	0	0%	0%		
	Supplier Qualification and Monitoring	Evidence of a supplier selection and qualification process that include client participation. Supplier qualification records e.g. capability assessment reports, supplier audit reports, Approved Suppliers List (ASL) and Approved Suppliers databases including details of supplier restrictions/limitations.	The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation of supplier qualification and monitoring process.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Qualification and monitoring procedure, qualification process and completed evaluation of the selected manufacturer. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Qualification and monitoring procedure and qualification process. 50% - Supplier Qualification and monitpring procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	**15%**	0	0%	0%		
	Supplier Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process	Show non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition. Proof of customer notification for non-conforming products or services as identified and reported.	The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted	**15%**	0	0%	0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING				**100%**	**Does not meet**	**0%**	0.0%		

Final Analysis						
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS			**30.0%**	**0.0%**		
TOTAL			**30%**	**0.0%**		

The scoring of the Quality Evaluation Criteria is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3- 100% - Meet

The overall score for functionality criteria is analysed as follows:

0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

COMPILER QUALITY : NU MPENGESI

SIGNATURE:

DATE: 2026-06-04